There is a long tradition of cultural exchange between Sweden and France.\textsuperscript{1} Typically, this exchange has been dominated by cultural phenomena moving northward, from Paris to Stockholm. However, in the context of understanding the relationship between the vernacular and the cosmopolitan, between the dominated and the dominating, one should not neglect the cultural products that have traveled the opposite route. Translations into French have played an important role for the continued mediation of Swedish fiction to the literary world. For instance, France was among the first major foreign markets for Stieg Larsson’s now world-famous crime novels.\textsuperscript{2} But there are several older examples. A French translation of the Swedish Nobel prize laureate Selma Lagerlöf’s debut novel Gösta Berlings saga (1891) was published as early as 1904 and marked the beginning of a half-century-long heyday for

\textsuperscript{1} Cf. e.g. Une amitié millénaire: Les relations entre la France et la Suède à travers les ages, L’histoire dans l’actualité, edited by Marianne and Jean-François Battail (Paris: Beauchesne, 1993).
\textsuperscript{2} Sylvain Briens and Martin Kylhammar, Poétocratie. Les écrivains à l’avant-garde du modèle suédois (Paris: Ithaque, 2016), 357.
Lagerlöf in France.\(^3\) The French were also quick to discover two other Swedish Nobel prize laureates, Eyvind Johnson (published in French as early as 1927)\(^4\) and Harry Martinson (eight works translated since 1938, not counting single poems published in anthologies or magazines). Furthermore, the Swedish existentialist writer Stig Dagerman was published by the prestigious Éditions Gallimard in the 1950s, and has been praised by French Nobel prize laureates Jean-Marie Le Clézio and Patrick Modiano.\(^5\)

The research project “From Periphery to Center. Swedish Literature on the French Book Market 1945–2013” addresses the question of how a peripheral (or semi-peripheral) literature is established on a central literary field, with a special focus on the role of literary mediators in this venture. Drawing on bibliographical data\(^6\) and using qualitative methods including interviews, the study investigates how translators, publishers and mediators shape the transmission process. The objective of the study is to analyse the logics at work in the meeting of two literary systems and to make a general contribution to the understanding of literary circulation as such. In this introductory essay, the project’s theoretical framework will be established through a discussion of


\(^6\) The main source for bibliographical data will be Dennis Ballu’s *Lettres nordiques. Une bibliographie 1720–2013* (Stockholm: Kungl. biblioteket, 2016).
the concept of world literature and the introduction of a number of factors contributing to the circulation of literary works outside their original context. Some preliminary conclusions, based on earlier bibliographical research, will also be drawn.\textsuperscript{7}

\section*{A Circulational Approach to the Concept of World Literature}

My understanding of the concept of “world literature” is a circulational one.\textsuperscript{8} The study of world literature, I contend, is the study of all literature crossing borders, circulating outside its original context, no matter what kind of literature, in no matter what source language. This understanding has been popularised since the turn of the millennium by such scholars as Pascale Casanova (\textit{The World Republic of Letters [1999/2004]}) and Franco Moretti (e.g. “Conjectures on World Literature” [2000]).

For Moretti, world literature is not a canon or a set of works – it is not even an object, “it’s a problem, and a problem that asks for a new critical method”.\textsuperscript{9} If one accepts his view, world literature should be a new way to study literature as a border-crossing phenomenon and on a much larger scale than before. Moretti is chiefly interested in genres and the spread and development of genres throughout the world. Reading at a distance, he identifies configurations common to the literary development in several different cultures. He uses these pieces of evidence “to reflect on the

\begin{footnotesize}


\end{footnotesize}
relation between market and [literary] forms” in order to uncover what he terms “laws of literary evolution”.

Moretti’s approach to world literature is true to Johann Wolfgang von Goethe’s original statement on world literature, given in the poet’s conversations with his personal secretary Johan Peter Eckermann: that national literature “means little now”, that “the age of Weltliteratur has begun” and that “everyone should further its course”. For Moretti too, national literatures mean little, because he considers them abstractly, as examples to be studied from a great distance. Goethe’s stance has been called “idealistic” and “too optimistic”, but if we follow Moretti, the age of world literature might very well be upon us, at least in the field of literary scholarship. When studying peripheral literatures and their relation to the world republic of letters using Moretti’s methods, one might be able to formulate general points that can be applicable to other cases of how a minor language literature is mediated to the world. This, however, is difficult to achieve without an operational understanding of the relationship between nation states and literature as an international phenomenon.

According to Casanova, there is, in the development of world literary space, a constant tendency towards autonomy, towards “literary emancipation in the face of political (and national) claims to authority”. But at the same time, literature suffers from an “original dependence” on the nation. Its medium, language, is “invariably appropriated by national authorities as a symbol

13 Nevertheless, one should not forget that, as Casanova puts it, “not all those who are literarily dominated find themselves in the same situation” and that “each one is dependent in a specific way” (Pascale Casanova, The World Republic of Letters, trans. M. B. Debevoise [Cambridge MA; London: Harvard University Press, 2004], 83).
of identity”, and literary resources are “inevitably concentrated [...] within the boundaries of the nation itself”. Consequently, Casanova, while insisting that the international literary space is relatively independent of the everyday world, that its boundaries does not completely coincide with those of the political or economic world, also criticises the naïve conception of literature as “pure”, denationalised and dehistoricised.

Literature, Economy and Sweden

Casanova’s stance is an important theoretical starting point for the project at hand. To understand the logic at work in the transnational flows of literature, one needs to consider, among other things, the economic importance of the countries in which these languages are spoken. If we – for example – establish every language’s share of the total Gross Domestic Product of the world, Swedish (whose relation to French is the main focus of this project) ends up high on the list. Sweden’s economic power makes Swedish more than ten times more important than it should be, considering that Swedes only make up 0.14 per cent of the world’s population. Swedish publishers have the economic means to
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advertise their products abroad. Also, the Swedish state has the means to create and uphold institutions such as the Swedish Institute, advertising Sweden and Swedish culture abroad and financing the teaching of Swedish around the world. The Swedish Arts Council, also financed by the Swedish state, makes important economic contributions to the translation of Swedish fiction.¹⁸

These facts are not to be forgotten when studying the cultural relationships between Sweden and France. Statistics from The Swedish Arts Council show that French publishers and translators are very active when it comes to applying for translation grants (17 applications in 2012, making France number five on the list of countries with the most applications). Furthermore, according to statistics from the Swedish Institute, France is the fifth country in the world when it comes to the number of university students studying Swedish (approximately 800 students in 2015). Teaching is partly financed by the Swedish Institute, which spends more money per student in France than in any other country, except for Russia and Poland. These, of course, are factors that contribute to patterns in the mediation of Swedish literature to the French book market.

**Paris: The Capital of the Literary World**

As Casanova has suggested, world literature should be studied as a separate literary field, with mechanisms of consecration similar to those at play in the national literary fields. This means that we have to consider not only economic factors, but also factors unique for the literary or cultural fields, such as the reversed economy, as understood by Casanova’s teacher Pierre Bourdieu, and strong ideas about literary centres and peripheries. Such an idea is Casanova’s of Paris as “the capital of the literary world”, which means that a writer who wants to be part of world literature must

be consecrated in the literary milieu of the French capital.\textsuperscript{19} This hypothesis has been called into question\textsuperscript{20} – especially following the rise of other literary centres, such as London and New York – but no matter if Casanova is right or wrong, the idea, or perception, of Paris as a centre, is sufficiently strong and widespread to influence the literary world in tangible ways. As Casanova herself puts it: a literary centre exists “both in the imaginations of those who inhabit it [and, one might add, those who inhabit the entire world republic of letters] and in the reality of the measurable effects it produces”.\textsuperscript{21} The recitation of the glories of Paris, “by virtue of being repeated as something obvious, gradually comes to acquire a reality of its own”.\textsuperscript{22}

For example, writers from minor languages, such as Sweden’s August Strindberg, have gone to great pains in order to have their work translated into French.\textsuperscript{23} (Strindberg’s contemporary, the Danish literary scholar Georg Brandes contended – much like Casanova – that “[w]hen an author is acknowledged in France, he is known across the entire earth”).\textsuperscript{24} Efforts like Strindberg’s, and of other writers from what literary sociologist Robert Escarpit has

\textsuperscript{19} Casanova, \textit{World Republic of Letters}, 24, 127.

\textsuperscript{20} Alexander Beecroft, for example, suggests that Casanova’s model has “the perhaps unintended effect of re-inscribing a hegemonic cultural centre”, even though her “avowed desire is to globalize literary studies” (Beecroft, “World Literature without a Hyphen. Towards a Typology of Literary Systems”, 88). Beecroft also points to the limited scope of Casanova’s (and Moretti’s) theories: “If we wish our model of the world to extend deeply into the past, then [these theories], useful as they are in their own context, will not suffice” (91). Furthermore, he claims that Casanova’s model is too focused on the national literatures, which makes it “inadequate”, since “a number of languages and their literatures transcend national borders and because the de-centring of the nation state brought about by contemporary global capitalism alters literary circulation” (98).


called “le circuit lettré”,\textsuperscript{25} have meant that canonised literature makes up a larger share of the fiction translated from Swedish to French than of fiction translated from Swedish to many other languages.

Looking closer at the translation of Swedish fiction into French, it is possible to collect statistical data that tests Casanova’s suppositions about France’s unique position in the literary world. For example, one might consider the translations of children’s books. Statistically, children’s books make up an impressive share of all fiction translated from Swedish: about 60 per cent. But the proportion varies between the different target languages. Among the translations to English, the share of children’s books is close to the average, with 57 per cent. For translations to Japanese, it is as high as 84 per cent. But – coming back to Casanova – for translations to French, it is only 39 per cent.\textsuperscript{26} The popular Swedish children’s book author Astrid Lindgren, whose dominance is overwhelming in almost every target language, is in French surpassed by both the Nobel prize laureate Selma Lagerlöf and August Strindberg.\textsuperscript{27} Similarly, still active writers who can be considered part of Sweden’s literary canon – “le circuit lettré” – are comparatively widespread in France. These facts can be partly explained with reference to France’s reputation as a major literary power. Canonised writers have made active efforts to have their work translated into French, in the belief that if they take Paris first, they can then move on to take the world.


\textsuperscript{27} Ballu, \textit{Lettres nordiques 1720–2013}, 913.
The Changing Itineraries of World Literary Space

Translations to certain languages – like French – seem to be particularly important for continued distribution and translation of literary works written in minor languages. These *transit languages* play integral parts in the global network of literature. Much like people, books tend to follow established routes from one country to another; a translation into one language often means a translation into another. In the case of fiction translated from Swedish, German – by far the most important of the Germanic languages – has played an essential role as a transit language.\(^{28}\)

However, the itineraries of literature are changing rapidly. Today, books can find new routes, and their journey into the world is much quicker. Of course, many factors contribute to this remarkable development, of which several have been discussed by the Danish literary sociologist Hans Hertel, who speaks of *concentration* (a direct consequence of the conglomerisation of the media market; whereby the large-scale economics of the modern book industry force publishers to merge into larger units in order to survive ever harsher competition) and *polarisation* (a growing gap on the book market, between big and small publishers, between big and small book shops and chain stores and between bestsellers and failed titles) as two dominant trends of the modern book market.\(^{29}\) Large-scale economics and media conglomerates contribute to a fast-paced bestseller culture, dominated by enormous book fairs and a system of literary agents, where Swedish publishing houses have made pioneering
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contributions.\textsuperscript{30} This development of the modern book market has meant considerable changes in the mediation of Swedish fiction to the international market, not only when it comes to pace and itineraries, but also when it comes to selection.

As a consequence of the phenomena studied by Hertel, Swedish fiction now has a different face or image in the eyes of the world. In the 1960s, while Astrid Lindgren unquestionably was the most translated of all Swedish writers, there was still quite a large share of canonised authors on the “top list”. But today, the situation is completely different. Canonised literature has disappeared, replaced by crime fiction, which, together with the always popular children’s books, make up Sweden’s contribution to world literature.\textsuperscript{31} Effects of this development are clearly visible also on the French book market. The significant rise of the number of Swedish novels published in French translation since the turn of the millennium (18 novels in 2000, 73 in 2013)\textsuperscript{32} can be largely explained with reference to the so called “boom” of Scandinavian crime fiction. In 2013, Swedish was the fifth most important source language for translated novels published in France (after English, German, Spanish and Italian).\textsuperscript{33} These patterns will be further examined in the project at hand.

In keeping with the theoretical framework and research principles outlined in this introductory essay – such as the focus on e.g. translation grants, Scandinavian studies and the role of literary mediators – the research project “From Periphery to Center” will discuss translations of Swedish fiction published on the French book market since the second world war. Translation flows will be followed through time, developments traced and highs and lows identified and explained. Also, the importance of specific genres will be studied, e.g. children’s books, poetry and crime fiction.


\textsuperscript{31} Svedjedal, “Svensk skönlitteratur i världen: Litteratursociologiska problem och perspektiv”, 49–51.


Finally, a study of a small number of translated works will aim at a description of how Swedish fiction is mediated to the French readers, using, for example, Lawrence Venuti’s distinction between foreignisation and domestication. Already, one might point to some interesting characteristics of Sweden and France as literary spheres; Swedish literature, fuelled by for example a strong economy and strong institutions, seems more semi-peripheral than peripheral while France – as a result of a traditional and still important belief in Paris as a literary center – comes across as an important transit market and generator of literary value.

A study of this kind – in which literature is regarded as a travelling phenomenon – seems true to Goethe’s idealistic stance on literature. In the wake of nationalist movements in today’s Europe, this seems an important undertaking. Interestingly, Goethe, in his conversations with Eckermann, leaves room for hesitation. He calls upon us to “further [the] course” of world literature, as if this is something that needs to be done. This combination of optimism and concern is mirrored in Casanova’s *The World Republic of Letters*, where the formation of a world literary space is described as a constant struggle between “the centripetal forces that strengthen the autonomous and unifying pole” of literature and “the centrifugal forces associated with the national poles of each national space”. Closed borders, Casanova suggests, never meant anything good for literature; instead, they bring literary development to a staggering halt. National literatures bring stylistic conservatism; international culture, on the other hand, brings literary innovation. The study of literature as an international phenomenon, especially when guided by a circulational approach to the concept of world literature, may very well strengthen the centripetal forces of world literary space.
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